

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/042,871	01/08/2002	Charles O. Johnson	JONC118509	5300
26389	7590 01/20/2004		EXAM	INER
CHRISTEN	ISEN, O'CONNOR, JOHN	BAXTER, GWENDOLYN WRENN		
1420 FIFTH SUITE 2800		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	WA 98101-2347		3632	
			DATE MAILED: 01/20/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/042,8			4		
q	Office Action Summary	Examine		JOHNSON, CHARLES O.			
	•			Art Unit			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication	1 .	yn Baxter e cover sheet with t	the correspondence address			
Period f	or Reply	.,,					
THE - External control	MORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RIMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION PERIOD FOR RIMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION PROVISION OF 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, Diperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by a reply received by the Office later than three months after the replaced patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no evon. a reply within the state oriod will apply and w statute, cause the app	vent, however, may a reply tutory minimum of thirty (30 vill expire SIX (6) MONTHS plication to become ABAND	be timely filed O) days will be considered timely. If from the mailing date of this communication OONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	20 October 200	<u>)3</u> .				
2a)	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠	This action is n	on-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice und						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4) 🛛	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the applica	ation.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) <u>1,3,4,6-12,14,15,17,18 and 20</u> is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) <u>2,5,13,16 and 19</u> is/are objected						
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	ınd/or election r	equirement.				
Applicat	cion Papers						
•	The specification is objected to by the Exa						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b)	objected to by t	the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to		•	` '			
44	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co		= : :	•).		
·	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ne Examiner. N	ote the attached Of	ffice Action or form PTO-152.			
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) \(\begin{array}{c} \text{*} & \text{*}	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Buse the attached detailed Office action for a Acknowledgment is made of a claim for donution a specific reference was included in the Topic Copies of the foreign language Acknowledgment is made of a claim for donution of the foreign language Acknowledgment is made of a claim for donution of the first sentence of the foreign language of the first sentence of the first s	ments have been ments have been priority docume ureau (PCT Rula list of the certinestic priority une first sentence e provisional agnestic priority unestic pri	en received. en received in Applients have been received in Applients have been received and the specification optication has been received as U.S.C. § 1	ication No ceived in this National Stage reived. 19(e) (to a provisional application or in an Application Data She received. 120 and/or 121 since a specific	et.		
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Sumr	mary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)			
2) 🔲 Notic	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No			nal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Page 2

Art Unit: 3632

This is the third office action for serial number 10/042,871, Cantilevered Structural Support, filed on January 8, 2002. Applicant's request for continued examination filed October 20, 2003 is acknowledged.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 3, 6-8, 12, 14, 17, 18 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 3,747,289 to Collins et al., hereinafter Collins. The present invention reads on Collins as follows: Collins discloses a cantilevered structural support comprising a counterbalance (14) and elongate support member (22). The elongated support member has a secured portion that is attached to the counterbalance and a cantilevered portion extends outward from the counterbalance. The elongate support member is configured to extend underneath and support a freestanding structure (10) having a footprint area. The counterbalance is not fixed to a separate building and further the cantilever structural support does not include a horizontal stabilizing member at the lower end of the counterbalance extending in the same direction as the elongate support member. One or more cantilevered structural supports are used to support the structure. The cantilevered portion of the one or more structural supports is

Application/Control Number: 10/042,871 Page 3

Art Unit: 3632

configured to support 40% or more of the footprint area of the structure. A plate (30) is secured to the counterbalance. The elongate support member is secured to the plate to attach the support member to the counterbalance. Head studs (24) are embedded in the counterbalance and are attached to the plate to secure the plate to the counterbalance. The counterbalance is formed of concrete (see fig. 2) and the elongate support member is a beam (col.1, line 34).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Collins in view of U.S. Patent No. 4,023,684 to Saul, hereinafter Saul. Collins teaches a beam being formed of steel; however this beam is not an I-beam.

Saul teaches a cantilevered beam being an I-beam. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the beam as taught by Collins to have incorporated the I shape of the beam for the purpose of supporting a free standing article thereupon.

Application/Control Number: 10/042,871

Page 4

Art Unit: 3632

Claims 10 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Collins. Collins teaches the limitations of the base claim, excluding the beam being formed of wood or concrete. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have made the beam from wood or concrete, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. *In re Leshin*, 125 USPQ 416.

Claims 4 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Collins. Collins teaches the limitations of the base claim, excluding the plate being welded to the support member. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have a weld used to secure the support member to the plate, since it has been held that constructing a formerly integral structure in various elements involves only routine skill in the art. *Nerwin v. Erlichman*, 168 USPQ 177, 179.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2, 5, 13, 16, and 19 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art of record fails to teach the one or more plates and anchoring bolts extending into the

· Application/Control Number: 10/042,871 Page 5

Art Unit: 3632

counterbalance wherein the plates extend across the support member. Additionally, the prior art fails to teach the plate being embedded in the counterbalance.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gwendolyn Baxter whose telephone number is (703) 308-0702. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday from 8:30 A.M. to 5:00 P.M. Eastern Time Zone.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 305-3597.

GB

January 11, 2004